

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,956	01/15/2001	Christopher L. Knauft	MEDIDNA.043A	4816
7590 06/02/2006			EXAMINER	
MacPherson Kwok Chen & Heid LLP			TRAN, TONGOC	
1762 Technology Dr. Suite 226		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95110			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/760,956	KNAUFT, CHRISTOPHER L.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tongoc Tran	2134				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11/2</u> 3	3/2006.					
• —		action is non-final.					
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-29,31,32,35-38,40-44 and 46-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-27,31,32,35-38,40-44 and 46-48</u> is/are rejected.							
7)							
8)□	, <u></u>						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* ~	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	• •	_					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/13/06</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/760,956 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on November 23, 2005. Claims 1 and 44 have been amended. Claims 46-48 have been added. Claims 1-7, 9-29, 31-32, 35-38, 40-44 and 46-48 are pending.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant contends that the key taught by Sims associated with content or a device but not a user program key associated with the user program. However, the secure package using the user program key as recited "containing a portion of the rights controlled data object". This rights controlled data object may be interpreted to be copyright control related information to the content data which Sims clearly taught (see Sims, col. 3, lines 31-45 and col. 15, lines 4-21, "the content use information preferably include rules establishing authorized uses of the content..."). Since the rights information is coupled with the content data, the limitation is met.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2134

Claims 1-7, 9-17, 19-29, 31, 32, 35-38, 40-44 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sims, III (U.S. Patent No. 6,550,011) in view of Abbott et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,671,808, hereinafter Abbott).

In respect to claim 1, Sims discloses a user data processor for providing access to a rights controlled data object, the user data processor comprising:

a processing device (col. 11, lines 5-15);

a communication; device connected to the processing device and configured to receive an encrypted secure package containing a portion of the rights controlled data object (see col. 1, lines 13-29);

a user program running on the processing device, the user program configured to control access to the rights controlled data object; a user program security module configured to at least partially decrypt the secure package using a user program key associated with the user program (see col. 9, lines 60-67); and

a machine key device connected to and associated with the processing device and accessible by the user program, the machine key device configured to restrict the use of the data object to the user data processor using a machine key (see col, 15, lines 18-34).

Sims discloses it is additionally possible to uniquely encrypt the content per user so that if unauthorized copies are made available or a secret key is published the source might be identified (Sims, col. 9, lines 60-67). However, Sims does not explicitly disclose but Abbott discloses a user device associated with a user, the user key device detachably connected to the processing device, accessible by the user program and

configured to restrict the use of the data object to the user data processor using a machine key (see Abbott, col. 3, lines 25-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of a user device associated with a user detachably connected to the processing device taught by Abbott with the teaching of additionally encrypting the content per user taught by Sims for the benefit of ensuring the identity of the user of the content (Abbott, col. 3, lines 45-49).

In respect to claim 2, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 1, wherein the user program is configured to communicate with the machine key device to authenticate the identity of the processing device using the machine key (see Sims, col. 15, lines 18-34).

In respect to claim 3, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 2, wherein the processing device is configured to provide rights controlled access to digital video (see Sims, col. 2, lines 1-3).

In respect to claim 4, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 1, wherein the encrypted secure package is encrypted with at least the user program key and the machine key, and wherein the machine key device is configured to at least partially decrypt the secure package using the machine key (see Sims, Col. 12, lines 13-21).

In respect to claim 5, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 4, wherein the user program is configured to communicate with the machine key device

Art Unit: 2134

to authenticate the identity of the processing device using the machine key (see Sims, Col. 5, lines 18-38).

In respect to claim 6, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 5, wherein the machine key is an asymmetric machine key pair comprising a public machine key and a private machine key (see Sims, Col. 12, lines 13-21).

In respect to claim 7, Sims and disclose the user data processor of Claim 6, wherein the machine key device is configured to generate the asymmetric machine key pair (see Sims, Col. 14, line 58-col. 15, line 3).

In respect to claim 9, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 8, wherein the user program is configured to communicate with the machine key device to authenticate the identity of the processing device using the machine key, and wherein the user program is configured to communicate with the user key device to authenticate the identity of the user using the user key (see Sims, Col. 12, lines 13-21).

In respect to claim 10, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 8, wherein the encrypted secure package is encrypted with at least the user program key, the machine key, and the user key, wherein the machine key device is configured to at least partially decrypt the secure package using the machine key, and wherein the user key device is configured to at least partially decrypt the secure package using the user key (see Sims, col. 12, lines 13-21).

In respect to claim 11, Sims and disclose the user data processor of Claim 10, wherein the user program is configured to communicate with the machine key device to authenticate the identity of the processing device using the machine key, and wherein

the user program is configured to communicate with the user key device to authenticate the identity of the user using the user key (see Sims, Col. 12, lines 13-21).

In respect to claim 12, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 8, further comprising:

a second security module configured to at least partially decrypt the secure package using a second key; and a third security module configured to at least partially decrypt the secure package using a third key (see Sims, Col. 12, lines 13-21 and Col. 20, lines 31-43).

In respect to claim 13, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 12, wherein the second security module is configured to communicate with the user key device to authenticate the identity of the processing device using the user key, and wherein the third security module is configured to communicate with the machine key device to authenticate the identity of the processing device using the machine key (see Abbott, Col. 12, lines 13-21 and col. 20, lines 31-43).

In respect to claim 14, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 12, wherein the second key is a portion of the user key, wherein the second security module is configured to obtain the second key from the user key device, wherein the third key is a portion of the machine key, and wherein the third security module is configured to obtain the third key from the machine key device (see Sims, col. 20, lines 31-43).

Art Unit: 2134

In respect to claim 15, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 14, wherein the second security module and the third security module are parts of the user program (see Sims, col. 20, lines 31-43).

In respect to claim 16, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 1, further comprising a third security module configured to at least partially decrypt the secure package using a third key (see Sims, col. 12, lines 13-21).

In respect to claim 17, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 16, wherein the third security module is configured to communicate with the machine key device to authenticate the identity of the processing device using the machine key (see Sims, 12, lines 13-21).

In respect to claim 19, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 16, wherein the third key is a portion of the machine key, and wherein the third security module is configured to obtain the third key from the machine key device (see Sims, col. 12, lines 13-21 and col. 20, lines 31-43).

In respect to claim 20, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 1, wherein the third security module is a part of the user program (see Sims, col. 20, lines 31-43).

In respect to claim 21, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 1, wherein the user program is implemented in hardware (see Sims, col. 4, line 63-col. 5, line 10).

Art Unit: 2134

In respect to claim 22, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 1, wherein the user program security module is part of the user program (see Sims, col. 4, line 63-col. 5, line 10).

In respect to claim 23, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 1, wherein the processing device is a general purpose computer (see Sims, col. 3, lines 30-46).

In respect to claim 24, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 1, wherein the processing device and the machine key device are contained in a single integrated circuit (see Sims, col. 12, lines 42-57).

In respect to claim 27, Sims and Abbott disclose the method of claim 26, further comprising:

(H) digitally signing the control elements such that the control elements can be authenticated; and (I) transmitting the digital signature of the controlled elements to the user data processor (see Sims, col. 5, lines 39-59).

In respect to claims 25-26 and 28-29, 31, 32, 35-38 and 40-44, the claim limitations are similar to claims 1-24 and 27. Therefore, claims 25-26 and 28-29, 31, 32, 35-38 and 40-44 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claims 46 and 48, Sims and Abott disclose the user data processor of claim 1, wherein the user key device provides encryption and decryption functionality of the user; wherein the user key is used for decryption (see Sims, col. 16, lines 44-52).

In respect to claim 47, Sims and Abott disclose the user data processor of claim 1, wherein the machine key device provides encryption and decryption functionality for the user data processor (see Sims, col. 15, lines 32-44).

4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sims (U.S. Patent No. 6,550,011) Abbott (U.S. Patent No. 6,671,808) and further in view of Keeler, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,502,130, hereinafter Keeler).

In respect to claim 18, Sims and Abbott disclose the user data processor of Claim 17. Sims and Abbott do not disclose the MAC address of the user data processor is a key (see Keeler, cot. 4, lines 30-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Sims and Abbott's teaching of encrypting content with machine key and user key with Keeler's teaching of using MAC address of the network system as a key so that it can conveniently identify the source if unauthorized content is identified.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Utsumi et al. (EP 0773 490 A1) teach a security control system (or user program) for protecting data stored in a storage medium operates by checking identifiers assigned to each medium.

Art Unit: 2134

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tongoc Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3843. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on (571) 272-3962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2134

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Éxamine: Tongoc Tran Art Unit: 2134

May 30, 2006

